Volume 2016-2017 - Issue 5

CASE NOTE OF INTEREST

Stephen Rudzik, 0 <u>u</u> < Benjamin Foods, et

Court and Case Number: PCCP 141000025

Honorable Lisa Rau

and way westbound near Downingtown. stabilization of the shoulder on the Pennsylvania Turnpike roadpart of a maintenance operation crew that was dispatched on employed by the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission and were McGinnis, Andrew Phipps, Mar Eric nis, Richard Rov Domarasky, Kevin 2014 Stephen Corbin for ephen Rudzik, Mark Yakscoe, embankment were Rowland, all

dump trucks and a Gradall loader. The right lane of the turn-pike had been closed by the placement of posted work zone signage and traffic cones. f the road including an varning truck, pick-up take body truck, two t ehicles were parked various turnpıke ed on the shoulder an triaxle truck, early

tation, a commercial driver employment agency that supplies commercial drivers for long term driving assignments. Defendant Jensen was operating his tractor/ trailer in the left lane proceeding westbound and upon turning a sharp corner on the Pennsylvania Turnpike roadway immediately before where the Pennsylvania been assigned to work at Defendant Benjamin Foods by Co-Defendant Trusted Transportation. a commercial driver driving a 53 ft. behalf of Defe Foods. Defendant Defendant 53 ft. tractor/trailer on Defendant Benjamin Efrain Jensen Jensen had was

> striking and instantly killing a co-worker of Plaintiffs that was came upon a slower moving vehi-cle and veered into the right lane kneeling down in the right lane of

Upon hearing the impact, some of the Plaintiffs had to move out of harm's way of being potentially struck by the passing commercial vehicle as it entered back into the left lane of travel. Plaintiffs Phipps, Yakscoe and McGinnis were seated in their turnpike heard and saw the commercial vehicle pass by their work area. vehicles. seated in their turnpike es. The remaining Plaintiffs

ee that got out of his truck covered up his co-worker's with cardboard immedia Plaintiff Phipps was the employ while waiting for police to arrive immediately body and

did not even see the tractor/trailer pass by. Nevertheless, after Defendant's commercial vehicle came to a stop, the nine Plaintiffs were in fact aware that their fellow employee had been fatally and some of the Pla located behind their None of the nine Plaintiffs actually witnessed their co-worker being struck by the tractor/trailer Plaintiffs trucks were and

Liability:

exception of Rudzik) did not suffer. Since several of the Plaintiffs (McGinnis, Rowland and DiFazio) had no wage loss claims and none The real challenge in this case was for Plaintiffs' counsel to impress upon Defendants' counsel and their carriers that an emotional distress claim (PTSD) which Plaintiffs' equally as compensable as tiffs' physical the Plaintiffs (with the injuries,

well before water occurred. Despite following occurred. The closure protocol, are revent the tenance and repair. The fear and uncertainty that Plaintiffs now experience while working was also based in part by the fact that on the day of the accident they believed that they were protected and could do their work safely emotionally vulnerable that they are at risk that the same thing could happen to 11 doneConsequently, Planner of pelling Plaintiffs placed on the turnpike roadway well before where the accident occurred. Despite following the based on the typical "boardable specials" evaluation typically forced to be creative in convinc-ing Defendants' carriers that the claims should not be evaluated which still did not prevent the fatal injuries suffered by their coworker, all Plaintiffs now feel without fear of vehicles entering their work area based on lane clo-sure signage that had been did by being back out on the turn-pike roads doing roadway main-tenance and repair. The fear and Plaintiffs themselves, who all returned to work, of the constant fear and worry that they now done in personal injury cases. Consequently, Plaintiffs' counsel expenses, tion of Rudzik, of the Plaintiffs, could happen to them now that they fate that signage evidence ıdzik, had any medical Plaintiffs' counsel was y could face their cr with the excep from some comco-worker face now the

from Post-Traumatic returned Defendants' strategy was to out that all Plaintiffs their pre-accident would negate any not to work and were able Plaintiffs' denying response liability to point ffs had claims Stress

CASE NOTE OF INTEREST

Stephen Rudzik, et al. v. Benjamin Foods, et al.

Turnpike

vehicles

were

located,

working day when they were out on the roadway that they were not re-living what had happened to their fellow employee and wondering whether the next time it would be their turn where their spouse or significant other would receive a call that they too have been killed in a work related roadway maintenance accident. For these Plaintiffs, they now feel that they have a "bull's eye" on their back each time they step out onto the turnpike roadway to do their job and this fear can be as debilitating as any physical injury that prevents them from doing their job properly. this was that there was not one

Outcome of the Case:

turnpike workers emotional distress involving 2,000,000.00 for claims 9 of

(Rowland psychologist), Angelika Sadr, M.A. (Domarasky psychologist), Barbara Watson, Psy.D. (Kelly psychologist), Chad Staller chologist), Gerald Cooke, (Rowland psychologist), Ang Sadr, M.A. (Domarasky psy (Pn₁_µ_µ, Toborowsky, M.µ. Toborowsky, M.µ. Robert chiatrist), Robert Toboro M.D. (DiFazio psychiatrist) psychologist), Jane Ka Psy.D. (McGinnis & DiFazio Dr. Christina L. Herring (Rudzik psychiatrist), Richard M. Ganley, Ph.D. (Phipps parallel Ph.D. (Phipps psychologist), Vincent LaSorsa, Ph.D. (Yakscoe Plaintiffs' Experts: James Markham, ames Man-economist), Am-sky, M.D. (Corbin psy-sky, Mert Toborowsky, Kassel Robert in psyzio psy-Ph.D.

Defendant's Experts

(Rudzik, Domarasky, M. Corbin, , Kelly, Toborowsky DiFazio, McGinnis, (Rudzik) M.D

> psychiatrist). Rowland, Phipps, Yakscoe

Plaintiffs' Attorney:

M

Jurewicz

Galfand

Defendants' Attorneys: LLP, Philadelphia

James D. Hilly, Marshall Dennehey, Philadelphia, for Defendant Trusted Transportation Edelstein, Philadelphia, Defendant Benjamin Foods Ricketti, ıvıa Philadelphia, Margolis bhia, for

Gallagher, Defendants Benjamin Foods and Efrain Jensen. • Benedict Philadelphia Weber